



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,293	12/11/2001	Vij Rajarajan	MS167412.2/40062.148USUI	3141
7590 03/29/2005			EXAMINER ·	
MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.			DOAN, DUYEN MY	
P.O. Box 2903				
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2143	
			DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) 6) Other: \_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12 04 03 03 18 02

Art Unit: 2143

#### **Detail Action**

Claims 1-21 are presented for examination.

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The applications refereed to on pages 1 and 2 of the specification must accompanied by the serial number and title of each application for proper identification. The relationship between these applications and the instant application must be clearly stated.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

**Art Unit: 2143** 

Claims 1-19, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dardinski et al, us pat 6754885 (hereinafter Dardinski).

As regarding claims 1,8 Dardinski discloses receiving information from a first resource related to a first task, the first task information for a first managed object of a predetermined object type (col.68, lines 41-67, first manage object is the user, task is the permissions associated with user/group); receiving information from a second resource related to a second task, the second task associated with the first managed object (col.68, lines 41-48, second manage object is the group); storing the information received from the second resource in association with the information received from the first resource (col.68, lines 55-67, storing user in a group, col.68, lines 49-55, user inherits parameters from group); receiving a request to perform the management task in relation to the first managed object (col.69, lines 1-16, also see Fig. 62); determining which resource to call in response to the request (col.69, lines 9-16); and sending a task request to the determined resource to perform the management task (col.69, lines 9-16).

As regarding claims 2, 9 Dardinski discloses receiving a request to display task information related to the first object (col.69, lines 36-46); and displaying task information received from both back-end resources in response to the request to display task information (col.69, lines 36-46).

As regarding claims 3, 10 Dardinski discloses receiving static task information related to the object type of the first managed object (col.64, lines 35-40,col.67, lines 52-54); storing the static task information in a task store (col.67, lines 52-59); receiving

Art Unit: 2143

dynamic task information related to the first managed object (col.66, lines 1-23), the dynamic task information including a task handler identification within the back-end resource (col.65, lines 65-67); and in response to the request to display task information, displaying both static and dynamic task information (col.66, lines 24-31).

As regarding claim 4, Dardinski discloses the task handler identification is a pointer to some executable code on the first resource (col.65, lines 65-67).

As regarding claim 5, Dardinski disclose the task handler identification relates to executable code on the first resource and the second resource (col.65, lines 28-34).

As regarding claims 6, 11, Dardinski discloses in response to the request to display task information, retrieving static task information from the task store (col.64, lines 35-45, lines 55-60, see figure 61); sending a request for dynamic task information to one of the resources using the handler identification, the request including instance information for the first managed object (col.66, lines 1-24); and receiving dynamic task information for the instance of the first managed object (col.66, lines 1-24).

As regarding claims 7, 12 Dardinski discloses associating a first management task with a second management task (col.67, lines 52-67); and storing a script function, wherein the script function is callable and performs both the first management task and the second management task (col.67, lines 32-48, permission hierarchy, figure 60).

As regarding claim 13, Dardinski discloses receiving a notification that a new resource has been installed on the network environment, the installation process including communication information with the new resource (col.68, lines 55-67 to col.69, lines 1-16); retrieving task information associated with new resource (col.69,

**Art Unit: 2143** 

lines 1-16); storing the task information associated with the new resource (col.69, lines 1-16); and sharing the task information with another resource on the network (col. 68, lines 41-49, group contain more than one user and the permissions (tasks) are inherits).

As regarding claim 14, Dardinski discloses the notification includes the task information (col.69, lines 7-16).

As regarding claim 15, Dardinski et al discloses determining whether the task information relates to an existing managed object type (col.68, lines 55-67 to col.69, lines 1-16); if so, associating the task information with the existing object type; and if not, associating the task information with a new object type (col.68, lines 55-67 to col.69, lines 1-16).

As regarding claim 16, Dardinski discloses receiving a request to display available tasks for an object type (figure 63, and figure 64); and displaying the management tasks available with respect to the object type (figure 67).

As regarding claim 17, Dardinski discloses receiving a request to display available tasks for an object type and displaying the management tasks available with respect to the object type (figure 65).

As regarding claim 18, Dardinski discloses a management module in communication with the plurality of resources (col.68, lines 41-67, group), the management module capable of receiving a request to access information related to one or more of the plurality of resources and to receive task information from the plurality of resources (col.68, lines 55-67 to col.69, lines 1-16); and in response to the

receipt of a request to perform a task, the management module performing task functions on more than one resource (col.68, lines 55-67 to col.69, lines 1-16).

As regarding claim 19, Dardinski disclose a task manager to receive and store task information, the task manager further communicates with the resources to perform the management task (col.69, lines 1-45).

As regarding claim 21, Dardinski disclose a scripting manager for combining multiple tasks into a single script function (col.67, lines 29-49, figure 60).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Dardinski et al (us pat 6754885) as applied to claim18 above, and further in view of Call

(us pat 6154738).

Dardinski et al discloses all the limitations in claim 18 mentioned above, but fail to disclose each of the plurality of resources provides information to the task manager in XML format. However Call teaches each of the plurality of resources provides information to the task manager in XML format (col.2, lines 64-67)

integration of data (see Call col.3, lines1-10).

Art Unit: 2143

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of Call with Dardinski for the purpose of facilitate the

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/014,293 Page 8

**Art Unit: 2143** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duyen M Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4226. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner Duyen Doan Art unit 2143

DAMP WILEY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TELANULUGY CENTER 2100